

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,816	04/07/2005	Hiroshi Honda	SAEG181.001APC 3755	
²⁰⁹⁹⁵ KNOBBE MA	7590 01/25/2008 RTENS OLSON & BEA	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS	
	FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3751	
	. •			
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	\mathcal{H}					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/531,816	HONDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy L. Maust	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 A	pril 2005.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 2.2 attached detailed ented detail for a list of the defined depict for recontent.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/05, 11/06</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Po	яенк Арріісаціоп				

10/531,816 Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because The superfluous language "The invention provides" should be deleted, and "(selected drawing) Fig. 1" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Niki et al.

In regard to claims 1 and 8, the Niki et al. reference discloses a "suspender forming structure" comprising a "strip of stretchable plastics film" 31 (see page 8, paragraph 3), as claimed.

In regard to claim 10, inasmuch structure that is defined by a "medication container", the tissue box 1 meets the claim limitation.

10/531,816 Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niki et al.

In regard to claims 2-6, the Niki et al. reference discloses the invention (discussed supra) including, but doesn't disclose the explicate properties of the suspender 31 having:

An elongation break in the range of 500%,

A modulus of elasticity of 10% or less,

An initial strength in the range of 5 to 70N,

A tensile stress in the range of 1 to 1.5; and

A thickness of 50 to 500 micrometers.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a strap having the above parameters, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105

In regard to claim 9, It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have a colored suspender, since applicant has not disclosed that a colored suspender

solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally with or without a color.

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoji et al. (2000-70341) in view of Niki et al.

The Shoji et al. reference discloses a "medication container" (see Figures 1, 2 and 6) comprising a "suspender" 10, a "double ended needle" 2 and "support ring" 9, but doesn't disclose the suspender being a strip of stretchable plastics film. However, the Niki et al. reference discloses a "suspender forming structure" comprising a "strip of stretchable plastics film" 31 (see page 8, paragraph 3) to hold a container in a suspended manner by stretching the suspender over existing structures. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a stretchable suspender for the suspender on the Shoji et al. device in view of the Niki et al. reference in order to provide a suspender that can be stretched over and suspended from various structures.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niki et al. in view of Dobashi (1982-101739).

The Niki et al. reference discloses the invention (discussed supra) including, but doesn't disclose the suspender 31 having a rib. However, the Dobashi reference discloses another suspender (see Figure 3) having ribs 8 in order to maintain the shape of the suspender. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the suspender of the Niki et al. device

10/531,816

Art Unit: 3751

to have ribs in view of the teachings of the Dobashi et al. reference in order to maintain the shape of the suspender.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art pertains to various suspended structures, similar to Applicant's device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L. Maust whose telephone number is (571) 272-4891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thur. 6:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4883. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/531,816

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy L Maust/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Tlm 1/9/08